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I. Introduction

The idea that animals are not humans and therefore should not be treated in the same manner has been supported by legal, philosophical, and scientific experts throughout history. Animals have been charged with crimes, found guilty, and punished as humans would be by courts, but they have not been given the opportunity to access the same courts to defend their rights against abusive or torturous treatment. Under the present state of the law, animals are considered property on the same level as household items. The predominant legal and social view that animals are property has been cited as a contributing factor in the ineffectiveness of anti-cruelty laws.

Supported by new studies on animal intelligence and social sophistication, advocates have fought for basic animal rights and have taken their arguments to the courts. Such arguments are a comparatively new and controversial phenomenon in the law, but advocates have already made progress in laying the foundations for the establishment of meaningful animal rights. In order to overcome the prevailing attitudes and legal defaults that allow cruel treatment of animals, animals must be seen as having the right to not unnecessarily suffer due to human actions.

It has been stated by animal welfare experts that animals have five basic freedoms that deserve legal protection: freedom from hunger and thirst, freedom from discomfort, freedom from pain and injury, freedom from fear and distress, and freedom to express normal behavior.
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freedom from pain, injury, and disease, freedom to behave normally, and freedom from fear and distress.8

The notion that animals could be granted rights under the law was once ridiculed, but now courts and legislatures have begun to move towards granting animals greater protections from cruelty and emotional trauma.9 Animal law as a course of study was not available in law schools until the early 1970’s.10 It has since grown into a field of debate and study that has drawn in experts from around the world.11 The rules of law that treat animals as property have been fought by animal rights advocates as being archaic similarly to the laws that once allowed for slavery.12 Animal owners are now being treated as guardians of animals rather than property owners who may benefit from their animals, but who must also ensure their health.13 Due to a lack of legal personhood under the law, when animals are injured or their wellbeing is threatened, advocates and owners are often barred from bringing claims on the behalf of animals because of the lack of legal standing to argue for the animals they are attempting to aid.14

Children have been seen by philosophers and the law as property that are under the control of their parents.15 Examining the relationship between children and their caregivers has been likened to examining the relationship between “other sentient animals” and their owners.16 The earliest anti-child abuse laws stemmed from efforts to end animal cruelty and sought to protect children not only from abusive guardians, but also from exploitation through labor and medical experimentation.17 Inspired by anti-child cruelty laws, reforms were made to address medical research abuses, availability of education, eugenics, and a variety of other social problems.18 Interestingly, such reforms were often backed by arguments comparing the legal status of animals and children.19 The current state of the law is a hodgepodge of common law, statues, and agency regulations which makes it difficult to determine what, if any, choices a child can make and what protections from harm they have.20
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Legal personhood, and the protections that go along with it, can be granted to items or people and is defined as a person or object that has the ability to be found by courts to have at least one legal right and has access to the courts to defend that right. Animals and children share such similar states in the philosophical and legal realms, that the arguments used to grant children basic welfare and self-determination rights could be applied to grant the same kinds of protections and rights to animals. This article argues that due to their similar historical and contemporary treatment under the law, tests similar in nature to those used to determine whether a child can be emancipated or are mature enough to make medical decisions, could be created to determine whether some species of animals are emotionally and intellectually developed enough to be declared legal persons.

II. Historical and Legal Similarities in the Treatment of Animals and Children

A. Denial of Value for Animals’ and Children’s Companionship Due to Lack of Inherent Value

i. Legal Value of Animals; Companionship

Animals, much like children, are considered part of the family and can face injury or death which denies their family the enjoyment of their company. Under the majority view of the law, an animal’s value, even if the animals are bred for the purpose of being companion animals, is limited to their market value, and their families cannot recover for loss of companionship or for damages resulting from emotional trauma if the animals are harmed or lost. Although courts have been reluctant to make changes to the law regarding recovery of damages for the loss of companionship with an animal, legislatures in several states have passed laws allowing for recovery of such damages.

ii. Legal Value of Children’s Companionship
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In the past, the law did not allow parents to recover damages through claims based on the loss of companionship or emotional trauma due to the injury or loss of a child. Damages for the loss of a child’s affections and companionship were not allowed by the courts due to the prevalent idea that only husbands could recover damages for loss of companionship resulting from the loss of sexual relations with their wives. Due to shifts in society’s view of children and their role in the family, courts and legislatures have begun to allow parents to recover damages to compensate them for the loss of enjoyment of being with their children.

B. Animals and Children as Sources of Labor

i. Animals as Sources of Labor and Food

Animals have been used for labor throughout the history of civilization, often under brutal conditions. Animals are still used for physical labor and endure injuries due to the harsh nature of their work and abuse by their owners. Animal use in agriculture in areas where it is difficult to obtain gas and modern farm equipment can place animals under considerable physical strain that requires specialized diets and supplements to maintain working animals’ health that are often not available due to the isolation of the regions that the animals work in. Despite economic and environmental advantages for some communities, the use of animals in agriculture is seen as inefficient and a sign that a country is backwards in its thinking. In developing countries, politicians and industrial leaders have dismissed the positive impacts of animal labor as old-fashioned and casting a country in a poor light. Little concern is given to the welfare of the animals as an ethical or legal matter, even though animals are often the major source of labor for meeting citizens’ nutritional needs. After their time as a laborer is determined to be over, animals are often slaughtered
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using inhumane methods that frighten other nearby animals.35 The treatment of animals used as a source of food has cultural grounds that are influenced by race, economic status, and viewpoints pertaining to what is considered too high a degree of animal suffering.36 The current methods of raising farm animals in confined spaces, which causes them behavioral problems and high levels of stress, were developed during World War II to produce food in a minimum amount of time to combat food shortages.37 Changes to the animal farming industry have been occurring due to customer pressures for humane treatment of animals, but fundamental changes in the systems used and the thought process that goes into using animals as a food source must be made to ensure that animals have as high quality of life as possible.38

ii. Children as Sources of Labor

Child labor has been used throughout history and its impact on children and economies overall has not been studied in depth, but it is most often found in poor and uneducated regions.39 The use of child labor has been shown to decrease a child’s opportunity for education which not only limits their income and growth potential, but also weighs down an economy as a whole due to the lack of vertical mobility of a country’s citizens and the lack of specialized skills.40 Children are often used as part of the labor force in dangerous jobs, despite concerns for their health, due to the fact that they play such a vital part of their countries' economies.41 The use of child labor in industries such as clothing and sports equipment manufacturing have drawn international condemnation.42 However, a vast number of children workers are not accounted for due to their being in positions that are difficult to monitor.43 Though labor laws have been enacted to protect children from
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dangerous working conditions and exploitation, fatal accidents still occur. A high percentage of those fatal accidents can be associated with children working for family members in agricultural positions.

C. The Use of Animals and Children in Medical Research

i. Animals in Medical Research

The use and mistreatment of animals in research became so prevalent that laws, such as the Lab Animal Welfare Act, had to be put into place to prevent animals from being kept in cruel conditions, used in inhumane studies, and protect pets from being stolen and sold to medical researchers. A number of charitable and professional organizations have worked to reduce the number of animals used in medical research, but a large number of animals are still used for a variety of experiments. Under current ethical guidelines, research involving animals is to be conducted in a way that allows animals to behave as they normally would and be free of emotional and physical distress as determined by a species-specific examination of the techniques used. Harm-benefit analyses have been put into place to ensure that animals do not suffer needlessly and to curb experiments that do not offer compelling reasons to use animals. Scholars Masterson and Renberg have found that those involved in medical research are prone to support animal use in research more than the patients who might benefit from the findings of the research.

Under the Animal Welfare Act, special exclusions for birds, mice, rats bred for laboratory research, and a variety of farm animals allow them to be used in experiments that would be held to be cruel to other animals. The degree of support for the use animals in research varies depending on location and the species involved. Surveys have found that dogs and primates draw the most negative reactions to their use due to dogs being seen as pets and primates as having human-like qualities, while mice and rats get more positive reactions due to their traditional...
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ii. Children in Medical Research

Gaining informed consent from parents or a child before a child is given medical treatment or involved in research has not always been required. Medical trials often used minor children, with or without their or their parent’s consent, in dangerous and unbeneﬁcial trials to the extent that public protests against the practice prompted laws to protect children from exploitation. Now, before medical treatment of any kind is administered to a child, the child’s parents must consent and the child, particularly if the child is over the age of 12, must consent to the treatment as well. The ethical and legal safeguards that have been put into place to insure children involved in medical research are exposed to no more than minimal risk to their wellbeing have been protested by researchers who argue such protections are too restrictive and impede the progress of medical advancement. Though informed consent is required for a child to be involved in research, medical researchers have tried to avoid full disclosure of what is involved in the research. Researchers argue against providing enough information for a patient to give informed consent using rationale such as: full disclosure would destroy the trust between doctor and patient, the patient may not want to be involved in the research by other patients but medical knowledge would beneﬁt from their involvement, and that giving full disclosure of what the research would entail would put too much stress on the patient.

III. Cognitive and Emotional Capacity in Animals and Children

A. Studies and Viewpoints on the Animal Mind

Cognitive processes can range from awareness of external changes, to conscious cognition, which entails being aware of internal and external inputs, to primary consciousness, which includes the ability to create mental situations in order to make decisions. Whether animals can have feelings or are capable of
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sentient thought, and what sentient thought entails, has been debated since the earliest philosophers examined the issue.\footnote{Ian J.H. Duncan, The Changing Concept of Animal Sentience, 100 Applied Animal Behavior Sci. 11, 11-13 (2006).} Darwin described sentience as “feelings that matter” and guide animals, including humans, towards successful behaviors.\footnote{John Webster, Animal Sentience and Animal Welfare: What is it to Them and What is it to Us?, Animal Sentience: The Other Minds Problem, 1 Animal Sentience 1, 1 (2016).} While the percentage of people who believe animals have cognitive abilities varies with the species of animals named, it has been found that scientists have the lowest levels of belief that animals can have cognitive abilities when compared to lay people and significantly less than those who identify as animal welfare supporters.\footnote{Sarah Knight, Science Versus Human Welfare? Understanding Attitudes Towards Animal Use, 65 J. Soc. Issues 463, 473-79 (2009).} Interestingly, under the laws of Quebec, all animals are recognized as sentient and are to be treated in a manner that ensures their welfare and safety.\footnote{Animal Welfare and Safety Act, S.Q. 2015, c 35 (Can.).}

The idea that animal experiences and emotions could or needed to be studied was once rejected, but it is now accepted that animals do experience feelings.\footnote{Richard D. Kirkden & Edmond A. Pajor, Using Preference Motivation and Aversion Tests to Ask Scientific Questions About Animal Feelings, 100 Applied Animal Behavior Sci. 29, 30-33 (2006).} Animals’ feelings motivate their behavior and preferences, and can motivate an animal to behave in a certain way.\footnote{Id.} Animals have been found to have much higher cognitive abilities than have traditionally recognized and have been able to express a variety of emotional responses including reactions to distress and pain.\footnote{Donald M. Broom, Sentience and Pain in Relation to Animal Welfare, in Proc. XVII Int’l Cong. On Animal Hygiene 3, 3-7 (2015).} For instance, elephants, which have been found to have high mental sophistication, have been observed acting altruistically to care for injured herd members and mourning the dead.\footnote{Iain Douglas-Hamilton et al., Behavioral Reaction of Elephants Towards A Dying and Deceased Matriarch, 100 Applied Animal Behav. Sci. 87, 100-01 (2006).} While research has shown that animals do feel a variety of emotions, more research is needed to understand the impact of such emotions and whether these emotions give rise to sentience as it has been applied to vertebrates by most commentators.\footnote{Duncan, supra note 61, at 16-17.}

**B. Children’s Mental Development and Autonomy**

Development of awareness in children is seen as a gradual improvement that relies on previous experiences and gains in overall mental sophistication rather than seen as a series of clearly defined moves from one state to another.\footnote{Philippe Rochat, Layers of Awareness in Development, 38 Developmental Rev. 122, 123-25 (2015).} The cognitive differences between children and adults, due to their respective stages in
development, require that children’s rights be based on the welfare of the child rather than granting them the same human rights given to adults.\(^7\) A common argument is that beyond granting children the rights to basic needs, security, and autonomy, the most effective way to deal with the transformative nature of a child’s physical and mental development is to place the onus of caring for children on those most accountable for a child’s wellbeing.\(^7\) In order to protect children’s interests, the law sees them as being held in a form of trust by their parents, who are charged with acting as fiduciaries and must allow their children the opportunity to grow with as much autonomy as possible while protecting their wellbeing.\(^7\) As a child grows and matures, the degree of control over their self-determination shifts between the child, the child’s parents, and governmental authorities.\(^7\) As the child gains mental sophistication, they are granted more opportunities to voice their thoughts in matters that affect them and gain greater decision making powers.\(^7\)

C. Tests of Cognition in Children in the Legal and Child Development Fields

i. Tests by Court to Determine a Child’s Level of Cognition

Under the federal rules of evidence there are no categories of witness incompetency.\(^7\) To serve as a witness an individual must be aware of their situation, be able to remember, recall, and recount previous events while understanding the duty to do so truthfully.\(^7\) The Rules of Federal Evidence favor allowing a witness to testify and allowing the jury to determine the weight of the testimony.\(^7\) Judges are not required to determine whether a child is competent to be a witness.\(^7\) However, judges may determine a child’s ability to testify according to the rules of the state of jurisdiction.\(^8\)

In situations where a child’s mental development is in question for important legal and life decisions, such as medical treatment, judges can interview the child and make a determination if the child is mature enough to make an informed,
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rational decision for themselves. While over half the states have created standards to determine whether a child should be emancipated or granted the freedom to make decisions on their own, a number of states do not provide guidance and leave the determination as to a child’s ability to be self-supporting or rational decision making skills to the court. After making a determination, the court may have to ask for parental consent before declaring a minor as being an independent legal person. The lack of standards for the emancipation of children makes it difficult to determine what criteria were used to grant or deny a child’s request for emancipation and what situations, such as abuse or neglect, contributed to the court’s decision to grant emancipation. As a possible way of looking at the conundrum of determining legal independence from another perspective, courts have had to make determinations as to whether a child should be placed back under the protection of their parents due to debilitating disease or injury. However, the standards used to decide such matters vary widely due to the circumstances presented, and focus on whether the child would be capable of supporting themselves, rather than making determinations as to their mental capabilities and what they need to demonstrate in order to remain independent under the law.

Children can be emancipated under the law automatically for a variety of reasons, including: reaching the age of majority, entering into military service, or getting married. Children can also seek legal emancipation from their parents by proving to a judge they are self-sufficient financially, are pregnant, have removed themselves from parental oversight, or wish to avoid contact with a noncustodial parent. Children can also be allowed to make certain decisions for themselves, without emancipation, if they can demonstrate maturity and understanding of their situation to a court. Under the mature minor doctrine minors can make medical
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decisions for themselves if they are found to be legally competent to do so.90 In
family law proceedings, courts are encouraged to get input from children about
decisions concerning matters such as custody and considerations as to a child’s
viewpoints on matters such as education to make decisions in the best interest of
the child.91 With issues such as minors seeking help with drug use, obtaining
treatment for sexual diseases, or judicial backing for abortion decisions the courts
tend to allow minors access to aid and the ability to make decisions as to whether
to carry a child to term if they show proper levels of maturity.92

ii. Tests of a Child’s Cognitive Abilities in Child Development Studies

A number of studies have been conducted on the changes in maturity levels and
personality traits of adults but comparatively few have been done to examine such
development in children.93 Tests have been created to measure a child’s intelligence
and ability to approach a situation using logic through the use of a series of
problems and puzzles.94 The puzzle-like tests allow insight into how a child works
through a problem or situation by evaluating a child’s situational awareness and
strategic thinking using a series of metrics that provide a detailed overview of the
child’s cognitive abilities.95 Non-verbal tests have been created to examine the
intelligence of adolescents and to determine an individual’s object manipulation
finesse, problem solving skills, and various mental abilities such as working
memory and processing speed.96 It has been found that cognitive and intelligence
tests involving children have to take into consideration things such as motivational
factors since children have been shown to respond in a different manner when asked
about things such as candies rather than inedible tokens.97

IV. Possible Issues for Creating Tests to Determine Legal Personhood
for Animals

While a number of studies have attempted to examine how complex animal
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thoughts can be and how their minds process information, due to the lack of standardization of metrics and tests, little progress has been made as a whole to gain insight into how animal minds work.  

A test for an animal’s level of sentience and situational comprehension, much like the tests used to determine if a minor can be emancipated from parents or make medical decisions, could be constructed using a problem that the animal has to work through that would demonstrate whether the animal can analyze the situation, use logic, and have a basic understanding of the situation before them. Tests to determine whether an animal could be considered a legal person, much like those that determine whether an A.I. or human is asking or responding to questions, will need to take into consideration a variety of differences in animals’ and humans’ communicative and physical abilities. 

Otherwise they will encounter the same kinds of issues online C.A.P.T.C.H.A. tests (cyber security tools that help prevent automated attacks) have that block legitimate users from accessing information due to color blindness, auditory limitations, or other user traits.

A. Overcoming Communication Barriers

Defining what human sentience and cognition consists of is difficult, and trying to define what sentience and cognition is in animals is an even more complex problem due to the differences in communication methods, physiology, and the senses between humans and animals. Whether it is possible to communicate with an animal at all, much less at the level that would allow a test to be given, has been a debated in the animal protection movement since the publication of an article in the animal anti-cruelty magazine Our Dumb Animals by Harriet Beecher Stowe in February 1869 titled “The Rights of Dumb Animals,” which argued that animals needed others to protect their rights and wellbeing due to their inability to speak or write. The publication of Stowe’s article drew an anonymous editorial response in the next month’s publication that posited that while animals could not communicate as humans do, there could be ways that animals and humans could convey ideas to humans if the definition of what communication entailed was broadened. Bonobos and chimpanzees can be taught forms of sign and symbol based language to communicate, however not all animals can communicate in such
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Researchers have sought to bridge the communication gap between a wide variety of animals and humans using electronic communication aids such as joysticks that would allow animals to convey their thoughts through the use of specialized software and through programs inspired by speech dictation tools that would translate vocalizations into understandable language.

B. Factoring for Different Types of Communication and Thought

People can often tell how another person is thinking due to cues such as body language and facial expression, but reading the thoughts of animals and being able to determine whether it is sentient to the level necessary to qualify for legal personhood would require a form of translation of thought and expression. Computers could aid in helping determine what level of cognition that an animal has due to their ability to create numerous platforms for intelligence tests as well as their ability to receive information from a variety of input types. Artificial intelligence (A.I.), human intelligence, and animal intelligence have common overlaps, but have different focuses and require different tests to gauge the complexity of their information processing abilities. As an example of such intersections of intelligence, animals have been shown to understand depicted scenes as well as humans. Additionally, animals scored as well as humans in facial recognition of individuals of the same species and of human faces and in determining emotional states based on expressions shown to them. A.I. programs have been developed that are close to humans in facial recognition. But the programs have difficulty in some situations due to issues such as lighting, facial angles, and emotional expression.

---

107 Id. at 154-55.
108 Steven Harnad, Animal Sentience: The Other Minds Problem, 1 ANIMAL SENTIENCE 1, 4-8 (2016).
110 Roman V. Yampolskiy, Turing Test as a Defining Feature of AI-Completeness, in ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, EVOLUTIONARY COMPUTING AND METAHEURISTICS: IN THE FOOTSTEPS OF ALAN TURING 11-12 (Xin-She Yang ed., 2013).
111 Id. at 12.
114 Id.
V. Conclusion

Animals are not humans in their mental processes or physical forms. However, that does not mean that they do not deserve protection from ill treatment and emotional trauma. Animals and children have similar histories under the law and in society’s treatment of them. Animals and children have been seen as being less than legal persons, been labeled as having limited value, worked in harsh and dangerous jobs, and exploited by medical research. Both animals and children have gained protections from harms through the altruistic actions of others who sought to give voices to those who could not speak for themselves due to their lack of standing in the legal system.

Though animals and children share a number of similarities, only children have been granted opportunities to be determined as able to make their own decisions or to be declared as independent legal persons by courts. In order to gain protection under the law, animals must be seen as legal persons that have access to the courts themselves or through legal guardians. Animals have been found to have sophisticated cognitive abilities and a ranged of identifiable emotions that indicate they are sentient and able to understand complex orders and situations. Tests that examine whether an animal is self-aware and situationally consciousness on a level that would indicate they are legal persons, much like those to determine whether a child can be emancipated from parents or make medical decisions, could be developed to grant them legal protections greater than those granted to them under the current law. Granting legal personhood would not elevate animals to the same status as humans but would allow for greater legal protection for animals through the actions of guardians by allowing them standing to defend animals’ rights.

Developing tests to determine whether an animal has intelligence on a level that would allow for the status of legal personhood to be granted will require an organized effort by experts in the animal welfare, cognitive sciences, and legal fields. In order to create tests for legal personhood for animals based on those used by courts to make determinations for children, a deeper understanding of children’s cognitive development must be reached so that a practical and uniform approach to making determinations as to a child’s cognitive ability can be presented to the court system as a whole. Once the standardized test for the determinations of a child’s mental cognition is established, the development of tests that would allow animals to be found to have the same levels of cognitive powers could be crafted.

Due to the variety of animals’ physical forms and communication methods, a single standardized test of their cognition would be all but impossible to develop. Creating the variety of tests that would be needed to allow opportunities for the broadest possible range of animals to be tested while adhering to a set of cognitive standards would take years of effort and study, even with the assistance of A.I. programs. To be able to help animals currently being harmed or threatened, and to establish legal and scientific precedents, cognitive tests could be developed for animals that have been studied and are similar enough to humans, such as great apes and other primates, so that issues such as accounted for physical differences.
or barriers in communication could be minimized. Working from the already established tests for primates, tests for animals such as dolphins, elephants, and others that are recognized for their intelligence could be developed and eventually implemented in the legal system. Using a systematic process based off the previous findings of cognitive studies and established testing criteria, tests could be developed to include more animals and kinds of communication between animals and humans until the maximum number of cognitively complex animals are given the opportunity to be granted legal personhood and the protection of the law.